

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 26 NOVEMBER 1965

Remimeo

(Reissued 18 December 1990 to delete reference to a staff member name and a post title that no longer exists.)

INFORMATION ON REHABILITATION

The following despatch was sent to me by a staff member:

"After reading SEC ED 212SH, I have realized that in London when I was CERTS & AWARDS, we were doing something grossly wrong which was responsible to some extent for our low income and probably 'created' missed withholds and bypassed charge, by false declares.

"The facts are that an old-timer would buy 5 hrs rehab and after having former release check and passed on to TECH, all the levels would be rehabbed at once using a Form 26 June. The auditor would e.g., 'suggest declare O-IV,' or 'O, II-IV.' Most rehabs were done in under two hours. The pc would be declared if TA position OK, good indicators in, and pc agreeing that he had been rehabbed on those levels.

"On occasion, a pc would say 'What about the release point I reached on 3M?' 'I went release on whole track processes. What does that make me?'

"If they knew how rehabs were done here at SH, and followed procedure, it would be a great boost to their statistic.

"I suggest that an HCOB stating explicitly how rehabs must be done and declared will put stable data on line.

"From my own personal experience as a preclear, if a level has been left un-rehabilitated, the mass on that level tends to key in and make life uncomfortable. It was only when the auditor started listing the processes I had been run on that I really felt good and that I was getting somewhere.

"If all release points obtained in past processing were rehabbed, pcs would be a lot happier and less likely to key in subsequently."

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:neg.dk.sak